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I. OPENING OF THE SESSION


2. The session was opened by Ms. Najat Al-Hajjaji, Chairperson of the Preparatory Committee.

3. At the first meeting, on 6 October 2008, the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights made a statement.

4. Also, the President of the Human Rights Council made a statement.

5. The session was attended by representatives of Member States of the United Nations, non-member States and Palestine, United Nations funds, agencies and programmes, intergovernmental organizations, human rights bodies and mechanisms, and non-governmental organizations as found in the attendance list in annex III of the present report.

6. For a list of the decisions adopted by the Preparatory Committee, see annex I. For the list of documents issued for the second substantive session of the Preparatory Committee, see annex IV. Information on the session is available at the OHCHR website, including webcast archives (http://www2.ohchr.org/english/issues/racism/DurbanReview/session2-documentation.htm).

II. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

7. At its first meeting, on 7 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee had before it the provisional agenda of its second substantive session (A/CONF.211/PC.3/1) and the annotations to the provisional agenda, prepared by the Secretary-General (A/CONF.211/PC.3/1/Add 1).

8. The Preparatory Committee adopted its agenda and its programme of work without a vote. For the texts as adopted, see annex II.

III. ORGANIZATION OF WORK

9. At its 1st meeting, on 7 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee considered a draft decision titled “Organisation of work of the second substantive session”, as recommended by the Bureau. The decision was adopted without a vote. For the text of the decision, see annex I.

10. The Preparatory Committee also considered draft a decision prepared by the Bureau which would give members of the Organization of the Islamic Conference 48 hours to make comments on the accreditation of the following six NGOs: Humanrights.ch/MERS, Brazilian Association of Gays, Lesbians, Bisexuals, Transvestites and Transsexuals, People for Peace and Defence of Human Rights, Terre Nouvelle, Nepal National Dalit Social Welfare Organisation
and the Bilaal Justice Center. The Preparatory Committee decided to defer the taking of a
decision on the accreditation of these NGOs by 48 hours.

11. At its 1st meeting the Preparatory Committee also considered the issue of the
accreditation of the NGO International Dalit Solidarity Network. Interventions were made by
India, France (on behalf of the EU), Pakistan, Algeria, Sweden, Russia, Morocco, Germany,
South Africa (on behalf of the EU), Cuba (on behalf of the Non Aligned Movement), Nigeria,
Denmark, Zimbabwe, Bangladesh and Egypt. The Preparatory Committee decided to defer the
taking of decision on the accreditation of this NGO for 48 hours.

12. At its 6th meeting, the Preparatory Committee adopted the decision to accredit this NGO
without a vote. France (on behalf of the EU) made an intervention. For the text as adopted, see
decision PC.3/6.

13. At its 1st meeting, the Preparatory Committee considered the issue of the accreditation of
the following NGOs: NGOs Gherush92 Committee for Human Rights and Bexley Council for
Racial Equality. The Preparatory Committee decided, without a vote, to accredit these two
NGOs. For the text as adopted, see decision PC.3/2.

14. The Preparatory Committee then considered the granting of additional time to the
Governments of India, Iran and Indonesia to make comments on the accreditation of the NGOs
National Campaign on Dalit Human Rights, Swadhikar and People’s Education for Action and
Liberation. Upon the recommendation of the Bureau, the Preparatory Committee decided to
extend until Friday 10 October the taking of a decision on the accreditation of these NGOs. See

15. At its 9th meeting, the Preparatory Committee decided to accredit the NGOs National
Campaign on Dalit Human Rights, Swadhikar and People’s Education for Action and Liberation.
For the text as adopted see decision PC.3/7.

16. At its 6th meeting, the Preparatory Committee also noted the request of the Government
of Iran to receive further information from the NGO Brazilian Association of Gays, Lesbians,
Bisexuals, Transvestites and Transsexuals. The Preparatory Committee decided to defer for 5
days the taking of a decision on the accreditation of this NGO. France (on behalf of the EU) and
Brazil made interventions. The Preparatory Committee then decided, without a vote, to accredit
the other 5 NGOs. For the text as adopted see decision PC.3/5.

17. At its 17th meeting, the Preparatory Committee considered the accreditation of the NGO
Brazilian Association of Gays, Lesbians, Bisexuals, Transvestites and Transsexuals. A decision
was adopted, without a vote, to accredit this NGO. For the text as adopted see decision PC.3/8.
Iran expressed reservations with regard to this decision. Statements in explanation of vote were
made by Egypt (on behalf of the African Group) and by France (on behalf of the EU).

18. At its 1st meeting, the Preparatory Committee considered the issue of the accreditation of
the provisionally accredited NGOs Centre for Community Economics and Development
Consultants Society, Rural Development Centre and Buddha Smriti Sanathan, which had failed
to provide information requested of them by the Preparatory Committee at its first substantive
session. The Preparatory Committee then decided, without a vote, not to accredit these NGOs.
For the text as adopted, see decision PC.3/3.
19. At its 1st meeting, the Preparatory Committee also considered a draft decision proposed by the Bureau entitled “Invitation addressed to the High Commissioner for Human Rights”. Interventions were made by Algeria, South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Belgium, Egypt and Ireland. The Preparatory Committee adopted the draft decision, as amended, without a vote. For the text as adopted, see decision PC.3/4.

20. At its second meeting, on 6 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee considered the draft timetable proposed by the Bureau. The Preparatory Committee adopted its draft timetable, as proposed by the Bureau, without a vote. For the text as adopted, see Annex II. The Preparatory Committee then decided upon the rules applicable to speaking time and decided the following:

(a) The speaking-time limits would be 5 minutes per statement for States Members of the United Nations and 3 minutes for all observers;
(b) The speaking time for joint statements by States Members should not exceed 10 minutes;
(c) The speaking-time limits for joint statements by non-governmental organizations would be as follows: 1 to 2 non-governmental organizations: 3 minutes; 3 to 5 non-governmental organizations: 4 minutes; 6 to 10 non-governmental organizations: 5 minutes; more than 10 non-governmental organizations: 6 minutes.

21. At the 7th meeting of the preparatory Committee, it was agreed that the non-governmental organisations and other observers would be given the possibility to make oral statements with regard to the drafting process after each reading of each section of the draft outcome document is completed.

22. At its 5th meeting, the preparatory Committee decided to apply the following rules to the process of negotiations and drafting under agenda item 6: A facilitator from each regional group was identified and a Chapter was attributed to each. South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Russia, Denmark, Argentina and China (on behalf of the Asian Group) made interventions. It was decided that observers would participate in the discussions under item 6 by making interventions after Governments had made their interventions but not during the drafting process itself.

23. At the 10th meeting of the Preparatory Committee, a discussion was held on organizational issues related to agenda item 6. The following Member States took the floor: Honduras, Egypt, France (on behalf of the EU), South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), United Kingdom, Nigeria, Switzerland, Singapore, Egypt, New Zealand, Syria and Nigeria.

IV. REPORTS OF PREPARATORY MEETINGS AND ACTIVITIES AT THE INTERNATIONAL, REGIONAL AND NATIONAL LEVELS

V. REVIEW OF REPORTS, STUDIES AND OTHER DOCUMENTATION FOR THE PREPARATORY COMMITTEE AND THE DURBAN REVIEW CONFERENCE AND CONTRIBUTIONS OF HUMAN RIGHTS BODIES AND MECHANISMS
24. At its second and third meetings, on 6 and 7 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee considered items 3, 4 and 5 of the agenda jointly. South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Cuba (on behalf of the Non Aligned Movement), France (on behalf of the EU), Pakistan, China (on behalf of the Asian Group), Nigeria, Brazil, Algeria, Azerbaijan, Argentina, Mauritius, the United Kingdom, Turkey, Egypt, Switzerland, Indonesia, Italy made interventions. The following observers also made interventions: The ILO, the Special Rapporteur on Contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination and related intolerance and the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion and Belief. The Touro Institute on Human Rights and the Holocaust, the Hudson Institute and the International Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists made a joint statement. The Canadian Arab Federation and the African Canadian Legal Clinic made another joint statement. IMDAR, the Lutheran World Federation, Forum Asia and APWLD also made a joint statement. B'nai B'rith International, UN Watch, the Afro-Swedish National Association, MAPP, the Foundation for Aboriginal and Islander Research Action, the International Youth and Student Movement for the UN and the Canadian HIV Legal Network also made interventions.

25. At its 3rd to 17th meetings, from 7 to 17 October, the Preparatory Committee discussed item 6 of its agenda “Draft Outcome Document of the Durban Review Conference”. At its 3rd and 4th meetings, interventions were made by Argentina, France (on behalf of the EU), South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Egypt, Cuba, Greece, Belgium, Bangladesh and Nigeria. Interventions were made by South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), China (on behalf of the Asian Group), Denmark (on behalf of WEOC) and Ukraine (on behalf of the Eastern European Group). Interventions were also made by Syria, Greece, Bangladesh, Norway, Singapore, Egypt, Switzerland, Russia, France (on behalf of the EU), the United Kingdom, Argentina, Mexico, Iran, India, Cuba, Algeria and New Zealand.

26. At its 6th meeting, the Preparatory Committee continued its discussions under item 6. South Africa (on behalf of the African Group) intervened. Iran, Russia, France (on behalf of the EU), Norway, Argentina, Nigeria, Pakistan, Egypt, Morocco and Algeria also intervened. Discussions then began on Section 1 of the Outcome Document (Review of progress and assessment of implementation of the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action by all stakeholders at the national, regional and international levels, including assessing contemporary manifestations of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance), with the facilitation of Mr. Osita Anaedu of Nigeria. Pakistan, South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), France (on behalf of the EU), Syria, Switzerland, China (on behalf of the Asian Group), India, Algeria, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Colombia, Angola, Brazil, the Philippines, Argentina, Iran, the United Kingdom, Indonesia, Mexico, Bangladesh, New Zealand, Russia and Senegal intervened.

27. At its 7th and 8th meetings, the Preparatory Committee continued its discussion, under item 6 of the outcome document. France (on behalf of the EU), Switzerland, Rwanda, India, Morocco, Algeria, Ireland, South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Belgium, Syria, Denmark, Angola, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Egypt, Benin, Brazil, China (on behalf of the Asian Group), the United Kingdom, Iran, Argentina, Bangladesh, Indonesia, Romania, Senegal, Mexico, Greece, Italy, Nigeria, Russia and Colombia intervened.
28. The following observers made interventions: a member of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, a member of the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent, the Indigenous Peoples and nations Coalition, the Foundation for Aboriginal and Islander Research Action, the Canadian Arab Federation, Mouvement contre le racisme et pour l’amitié entre les peuples, the Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network, Badil Resource Centre for Palestinian Residency and Refugee Rights, Afro-Swedish National Association, the International Labour Organisation and the Red de Mujeres Afrocaribenas, Afrolatinoamericanas y de la Diaspora. Human Rights First and the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights Education Fund made a joint statement. Interfaith International, MBORORO and the Al-Hakim Foundation also made a joint statement.

29. At its 7th and 8th meetings, the Preparatory Committee began discussions of Section 2 of the outcome document (Assessment of the effectiveness of the existing Durban follow-up mechanisms and other relevant United Nations mechanisms in order to enhance them) under the facilitation of Mr. Sebastian Rosales of Argentina. South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Algeria, France (on behalf of the EU), Colombia, Switzerland, Bangladesh, India, Brazil, Mexico, Indonesia, Senegal, Egypt, Iran, Benin, the Philippines, Syria and Belgium made interventions. The following observers also made interventions: a member of the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent, the Afro-Swedish National Association, the Indigenous Peoples and Nations Coalition, Coordination des pueples autochtones –Afrique and the Mouvement contre le racisme et pour l’amitié entre les peuples.

30. At its 9th meeting, on 10 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee continued its consideration of agenda item 6, with a focus on Chapter 4 of the draft outcome document of the Durban Review Conference (Identification and sharing of best practices achieved at the national, regional and international levels in the fight against racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance). Discussion of this Section was facilitated by Mr. Yuri Boychenko of the Russian Federation. Statements were made by the following Member States: Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), France (on behalf of the EU), Senegal, Colombia, Pakistan (on behalf of the Organization of Islamic Conference), Brazil, India, Norway, Switzerland, Cuba, Angola, Honduras, Belgium, Iran, Libya, Benin, China (on behalf of the Asian Group), Algeria, Italy, Syria, Thailand and Morocco. Statements were also made by a member of the Committee of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination and a member of the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent. Statements were also made by the following non-governmental organizations: Indigenous Peoples and Nations Coalition, Mouvement contre le racisme et pour l’amitié entre les peuples (MRAP) and Inter-faith International. A joint statement was made on behalf of Red de Mujeres Afrocaribenas y Afrolatinoamericanas Colectiva Mujer y Salud, republica Dominicana, Instituto de la Mujer Negra, Geledés, Brazil, Afrolider, Colombia, Enlace de Mujeres Negras de Honduras.

31. At its 9th meeting, on 10 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee continued its consideration of agenda item 6, with a focus on Section 3 of the draft outcome document of the Durban Review Conference (promotion of the universal ratification and implementation of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination and proper
At its 10th meeting, on 10 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee continued its consideration of agenda item 6, with a focus on Chapter five of the draft outcome document of the Durban Review Conference (identification of further concrete measures and initiatives at all levels for combating and eliminating all manifestations of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance, in order to foster the implementation of the Durban Declaration and Programme of action and to address challenges and impediments thereto, including in the light of developments since its adoption in 2001). Discussion of this Section was facilitated by Mr. Dayan Jayatilleka of Sri Lanka. Statements were made by the following Member States: South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), France (on behalf of the EU), India, Syria, Philippines, Morocco, Switzerland, Iran, Egypt, Benin, Brazil, Armenia, Bangladesh, Algeria, China (on behalf of the Asian Group), Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Argentina, Mexico, Angola and the United Kingdom. Statements were also made by an expert member of the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent. Statements were made by the following non-governmental organizations: UN Watch; a joint statement was made by Red de Mujeres Afrocaribeñas y Afrolatinoamericanas, Colectiva Mujer y Salud republica Dominicana, Instituto de la Mujer Negra Geledés Brazil, Afrolider Colombia, Enlace de Mujeres Negras de Honduras, Color Cubano (UNEAC) Cuba and Voces Caribeñas Nicaragua; Indigenous Peoples and Nations Coalition; and Inter-Faith International.

At its 11th and 12th meetings on 13 and 14 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee continued its discussion on Section 1 of the Outcome Document under agenda item 6. The following Member States took the floor: South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), France (on behalf of the EU), the United Kingdom, India, Egypt, Nigeria, Argentina, Indonesia, Denmark, Switzerland, Honduras, Russia, Ecuador, Brazil, Ethiopia, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Syrian Arab Republic, Bangladesh, Morocco, Philippines, Mexico, Algeria, Belgium, Italy, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Cuba, Thailand, Pakistan, Haiti, Singapore, Ecuador, Bolivia, Senegal, Sudan, Thailand, Guatemala, Benin, Iran and Angola.

At its 13th meeting on 14 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee continued its discussion on Section 2 of the Outcome Document under agenda item 6. The following Member States took the floor: United Kingdom, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Norway, India, France (on behalf of the EU), Singapore, Egypt, Nigeria, Denmark, Angola, Bangladesh, Belgium, Cuba, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Algeria, Ireland, Benin, Senegal and Brazil.

At its 14th meeting on 15 October 2008, the Preparatory Committee continued its discussion on Section 3 of the Outcome Document under Agenda item 6. The following Member States took the floor: Singapore, France (on behalf of the EU), Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Brazil, South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Ethiopia, India, Bhutan, Cuba, Egypt, Nigeria, Belgium, Bangladesh, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Switzerland, Denmark, Turkey,
Argentina, Algeria, Senegal, Syrian Arab Republic, Germany, Mexico, United Kingdom, Honduras, Thailand, China (on behalf of the Asian Group), and Japan.


37. Discussion was continued on Section 4 of the Outcome Document. The following Member States made interventions: Nigeria, the Russian Federation, South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Benin, France (on behalf of the EU), Colombia, Ethiopia, Sudan, India, Switzerland, Algeria, Thailand, Bangladesh, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Argentina, Mexico, United Kingdom, Singapore, Brazil, Nigeria, New Zealand, Senegal, Syrian Arab Republic and the Islamic Republic of Iran.

38. At its 16th meeting on 16 October 2008, Member States continued their discussion on Section 5 of the Outcome Document under agenda item 6. The following Member States made interventions: South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Singapore, India, Switzerland, Mexico, France (on behalf of the EU), Romania, United Kingdom, Norway, Algeria, Ethiopia, Brazil, Bangladesh, El Salvador, Islamic Republic of Iran, Cuba, Egypt, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Belgium, Thailand, Guatemala, Botswana, Sudan, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Colombia, Syrian Arab Republic, Angola, Morocco and Benin.

39. At its 17th meeting on 16 October 2008, Member States continued their discussion on Section 5 of the Outcome Document under agenda item 6. The following Member States made interventions: Islamic Republic of Iran, Egypt, France (on behalf of the EU), Nigeria, Indonesia, South Africa (on behalf of the African Group), Mexico, Ethiopia, Singapore, Bangladesh, Egypt, India, Nigeria, Switzerland, Chile (on behalf of GRULAC), Colombia, Uganda, Cuba, Belgium, Angola, Sweden, Pakistan, Japan, Thailand and the United Kingdom. Following this, Observers took the floor. The following Observers made interventions: Representative of CERD, Migrants' Rights International, Foundation for Aboriginal and Islander Research Action, Comité International pour le respect et l'application de la Charte Africaine des droits de l'homme et des peoples, African Commission on Health Promoters and Human Rights, joint statement by Habitat International Coalition and Badil, Movement contre le racisme et pour l'amitie entre les peoples, National Coordinator for Human Rights, Geledes Black Women's Institute, joint statement by Red de mujeres afrocaribenenses, afrolatino-americana y de la diaspora, Color cubano UNEAC, Afrolider de Colombia, enlace de mujeres negras de Honduras, Colectiva Mujer y Salud, Voces Caribenas, Instituto de la Mujer Negra y Criolla. The last two interventions were by the European Network against Racism and the International Campaign for Tibet.

40. At its 18th meeting, NGOs and Observers continued their interventions. The following organisations took the floor: Mouvement pour l'abolition de la prostitution et la pornographie et
toutes les formes de violence contre les femmes, Indigenous People's and Nations Coalition, Simon Wiesenthal Center, B'nai B'rith International Coordinating Board of Jewish Organisations, Centre on Housing Rights and Evictions, Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network and a joint statement by the International Movement against all Forms of Discrimination and Racism and the Asian Legal Resource Centre.

VIII. ORGANIZATION OF THE WORK OF THE DURBAN REVIEW CONFERENCE AND OTHER MATTERS

IX. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF THE PREPARATORY COMMITTEE

At its 19th meeting, the Preparatory Committee had before it the draft report of its second substantive session and an Addendum to this report, which contained a compilation of paragraphs proposed for the Outcome Document of the Durban Review Conference. The draft report, including its annexes and Addendum, were adopted ad referendum, and the Preparatory Committee decided to entrust the Vice-Chairperson-Rapporteur with its finalization.
Annex I

DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE PREPARATORY COMMITTEE ON ITS FIRST SUBSTANTIVE SESSION

PC.3/1. Organization of Work of the second substantive session

The Preparatory Committee,

Recalling its decision PC.1/13 on the objectives of the Durban Review Conference and recalling further its decision PC.2/8 on the structure of the draft outcome document of the Durban Review Conference,

Recognizing the work of its intersessional open-ended intergovernmental working group as mandated in its decisions PC.1/10 and PC.2/4, and noting that further written contribution will be made,

Taking note of the recommendation made by the intersessional open-ended intergovernmental working group (A/CONF.211/PC.3/2),

Acknowledging that the issues and the text contained in the working paper submitted by the Chairperson of the intersessional open-ended intergovernmental working group entitled “Certain indicative elements in relation to the outcome document” are not negotiated, and that attributions should be made,

1. Decides to commence immediately the process of negotiations on and drafting of the outcome document of the Durban Review Conference;

2. Decides that working document entitled “Certain indicative elements in relation to the outcome document” shall constitute a basis for discussion and negotiations at the present session of the Preparatory Committee, without prejudice to the possibility for other stakeholders to make further proposals;

3. Decides that the drafting of the declaratory part of the outcome document shall be undertaken after the first reading of the five sections of the action-oriented part of the draft outcome document by the Preparatory Committee is completed.

PC.3/2. Accreditation of two new NGOs

The Preparatory Committee decides to accredit the following new NGOs to the Durban Review Conference and its preparatory process:

1. Gherush92 Committee for Human Rights
2. Bexley Council for Racial Equality
PC.3/3. Accreditation of three Durban 2001 NGOs

1. At its first substantive session, the Preparatory Committee decided, in decision PC.2/5 A, to provisionally invite to the Durban Review Conference and its preparatory process the below mentioned NGOs that are not in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council but participated in the World Conference against Racism, Racial Discrimination, Xenophobia and Related Intolerance of 2001, pending the reception of further information that demonstrates that they fully satisfy the criteria for accreditation contained in resolution 1996/31 and in accordance with Preparatory Committee decision PC1/2:

- Centre for Community Economics and Development Consultants Society
- Rural Development Centre
- National Campaign on Dalit Human Rights
- Buddha Smriti Sanathan

As no further information was received from the NGOs Centre for Community Economics and Development Consultants Society, Rural Development Centre and Buddha Smriti Sanathan, the preparatory Committee decides not to accredit these three NGOs to the Durban Review Conference.

PC.3/4. Invitation addressed to the High Commissioner for Human Rights

Bearing in mind the objectives of the Durban Review Conference as well as the important role that the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights has been playing in the follow-up to the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action (DDPA), the Preparatory Committee decides to invite the High Commissioner to make a contribution to the Durban Review Conference through a report on the implementation of the DDPA and proposals to enhance its implementation and on the implementation of relevant decisions and resolutions of the General Assembly and the Human Rights Council.

PC.3/5. Accreditation of five new NGOs

The Preparatory Committee decides to accredit the following new NGOs to the Durban Review Conference and its preparatory process:

1. Humanrights.ch / MERS
2. People for Peace and Defence of Rights
3. Terre Nouvelle
5. Bilaal Justice Center

PC.3/6. Accreditation of the NGO International Dalit Solidarity Network
The Preparatory Committee,

*Having considered the application of the International Dalit Solidarity Network (IDSN), an NGO, for participation in the preparatory process to the Durban Review Conference,*

*Noting that the areas of activities of IDSN relate to caste-based discrimination and other forms of discrimination based on work and descent,*

*Underlining that the participation of IDSN in the preparatory process of the Durban Review Conference would cover discrimination based on the ground of descent in line with the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action,*

*Decides, therefore, to grant accreditation to IDSN.*

**PC.3/7. Accreditation of the three NGOs National Campaign on Dalit Human Rights, Swadhikar and People’s Education for Action and Liberation**

**A**

Having received further information from the NGOs in question, the Preparatory Committee decides to accredit the following NGOs that were provisionally accredited at its first substantive session pending the reception of further information:

1. Swadhikar
2. National Campaign on Dalit Human Rights

**B**

Having received further information from the NGO in question, the Preparatory Committee also decides to accredit the following NGO that was not invited to participate at its first substantive session as it had not provided further information:

1. Peoples Education for Action and Liberation

**PC.3/8. Accreditation of the NGO Brazilian Association of Gays, Lesbians, Bisexuals, Transvestites and Transsexuals**

The preparatory Committee,
Having considered the application of the Brazilian NGO Brazilian Association of Gays, Lesbians, Bisexuals, Transvestites and Transsexuals (ABGLT) for participation in the Durban Review Conference, to be held from 20 to 24 April, in Geneva,

Stressing that the participation of the ABGLT in the Durban review Conference would be based on addressing discrimination in conformity with the contents of the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action (DDPA) and shall strictly raise issues mentioned in the DDPA and in accordance with operative paragraph 5 of Resolution 3/2 of the Human Rights Council,

Decides, therefore, to grant accreditation to the ABGLT.
Annex II

AGENDA AND PROGRAMME OF WORK OF THE SECOND SUBSTANTIVE SESSION OF THE PREPARATORY COMMITTEE OF THE DURBAN REVIEW CONFERENCE

A. Agenda
B. Programme of work
Annex III
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