# 16. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF ALL PERSONS FROM ENFORCED DISAPPEARANCE

## New York, 20 December 2006

**ENTRY INTO FORCE:** 23 December 2010, in accordance with article 39(1) which reads as follows: "This

Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the date of deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the twentieth instrument of ratification or

accession.".

**REGISTRATION:** 23 December 2010, No. 48088.

Signatories: 98. Parties: 59. **STATUS:** 

TEXT:

United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 2716,p. 3; <u>Doc.A/61/448</u>; C.N.737.2008.TREATIES-12 of 2 October 2008 (Proposal of corrections to the original text of the Convention (Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts) and to the Certified True Copies) and C.N.1040.2008.TREATIES-20 of 2 January 2009 (Corrections).

Note: The above Convention was adopted on 20 December 2006 during the sixty-first session of the General Assembly by resolution A/RES/61/177. In accordance with its article 38, the Convention shall be open for signature by all Member States of the United Nations. The Convention shall be open for signature on 6 February 2007 in Paris, France, and thereafter at United Nations Headquarters in New York.

Participant S	Signatur	re	Accession(a), Ratification		Participant	Signature		Accession(a), Ratification	
Albania	6 Feb	2007	8 Nov	2007	Comoros	6 Feb	2007		
Algeria	6 Feb	2007			Congo	6 Feb	2007		
Angola	24 Sep	2014			Costa Rica	6 Feb	2007	16 Feb	2012
Argentina	6 Feb	2007	14 Dec	2007	Croatia	6 Feb	2007		
Armenia	10 Apr	2007	24 Jan	2011	Cuba	6 Feb	2007	2 Feb	2009
Austria	6 Feb	2007	7 Jun	2012	Cyprus	6 Feb	2007		
Azerbaijan	6 Feb	2007			Czech Republic	19 Jul	2016	8 Feb	2017
Belgium	6 Feb	2007	2 Jun	2011	Denmark	25 Sep	2007		
Belize			14 Aug	2015 a	Dominican Republic	26 Sep	2018		
Benin	19 Mar	2010	2 Nov	2017	Ecuador	24 May	2007	20 Oct	2009
Bolivia (Plurinational					Eswatini	25 Sep	2007		
State of)	6 Feb	2007	17 Dec	2008	Finland	6 Feb	2007		
Bosnia and	6 F. 1	2005	2016	2012	France	6 Feb	2007	23 Sep	2008
Herzegovina		2007	30 Mar	2012	Gabon	25 Sep	2007	19 Jan	2011
Brazil		2007	29 Nov	2010	Gambia	20 Sep	2017	28 Sep	2018
Bulgaria	-	2008	• -	••••	Germany	26 Sep	2007	24 Sep	2009
Burkina Faso		2007	3 Dec	2009	Ghana	6 Feb	2007		
Burundi		2007			Greece	1 Oct	2008	9 Jul	2015
Cabo Verde		2007			Grenada	6 Feb	2007		
Cambodia			27 Jun	2013 a	Guatemala	6 Feb	2007		
Cameroon	6 Feb	2007			Guinea-Bissau	24 Sep	2013		
Central African			11.0	2016	Haiti	_	2007		
Republic		2007	11 Oct	2016 a	Honduras	6 Feb	2007	1 Apr	2008
Chad		2007	0 D	2000	Iceland		2008	1	
Chile		2007	8 Dec	2009	India		2007		
Colombia	27 Sep	2007	11 Jul	2012	Indonesia		2010		
						P			

Participant	Signatui	Signature		on(a), tion	Participant	Signature		Accession(a), Ratification	
Iraq			23 Nov	2010 a	Peru			26 Sep	2012 a
Ireland	29 Mar	2007			Poland	25 Jun	2013		
Italy	3 Jul	2007	8 Oct	2015	Portugal	6 Feb	2007	27 Jan	2014
Japan	6 Feb	2007	23 Jul	2009	Republic of Moldova	6 Feb	2007		
Kazakhstan	•••		27 Feb	2009 a	Romania	3 Dec	2008		
Kenya	6 Feb	2007			Samoa	6 Feb	2007	27 Nov	2012
Lao People's					Senegal	6 Feb	2007	11 Dec	2008
Democratic	20 G	2000			Serbia	6 Feb	2007	18 May	2011
Republic	_	2008			Seychelles			18 Jan	2017 a
Lebanon		2007	( D	2012	Sierra Leone	6 Feb	2007		
Lesotho	-	2010	6 Dec	2013	Slovakia	26 Sep	2007	15 Dec	2014
Liechtenstein		2007	1.4.4	2012	Slovenia	26 Sep	2007		
Lithuania		2007	14 Aug	2013	Spain	27 Sep	2007	24 Sep	2009
Luxembourg		2007			Sri Lanka	10 Dec	2015	25 May	2016
Madagascar		2007	1471	2015	St. Vincent and the				
Malawi		2005	14 Jul	2017 a	Grenadines	29 Mar	2010		
Maldives		2007			Sweden	6 Feb	2007		
Mali		2007	1 Jul	2009	Switzerland	19 Jan	2011	2 Dec	2016
Malta		2007	27 Mar	2015	Thailand	9 Jan	2012		
Mauritania	_	2011	3 Oct	2012	The former Yugoslav				
Mexico		2007	18 Mar	2008	Republic of	( Eak	2007		
Monaco		2007			Macedonia		2007	21 1-1	2014
Mongolia		2007	12 Feb	2015	Togo		2010	21 Jul	2014
Montenegro		2007	20 Sep	2011	Tunisia		2007	29 Jun	2011
Morocco		2007	14 May	2013	Uganda		2007	1.4.4	2015
Mozambique		2008			Ukraine			14 Aug	2015 a
Netherlands <sup>1</sup>		2008	23 Mar	2011	United Republic of Tanzania	20 Sen	2008		
Niger	6 Feb	2007	24 Jul	2015	Uruguay	-	2007	4 Mar	2009
Nigeria			27 Jul	2009 a	Vanuatu		2007	4 Mai	2009
Norway		2007			Venezuela (Bolivarian	0 1 60	2007		
Palau	20 Sep	2011			Republic of)	21 Oct	2008		
Panama	25 Sep	2007	24 Jun	2011	Zambia		2010	4 Apr	2011
Paraguay	6 Feb	2007	3 Aug	2010		· ~-r		· -I' -	

# **Declarations and Reservations** (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

# **CUBA**

The Republic of Cuba hereby declares, in accordance with article 42, paragraph 2, that it does not consider itself obliged to refer its disputes to the International Court of Justice, as provided for in paragraph 1 of the same article.

## **GERMANY**

"Article 16
The prohibition of return shall only apply if the person concerned faces a real risk of being subjected to enforced disappearance.

Regarding Art. 17 (2) (f)
Under German law it is guaranteed that deprivation of liberty is only lawful if it has been ordered by a court or — in exceptional cases — subsequently authorized by a court. Article 104 para. 2 of the Basic Law (Grundgesetz) expressly provides: 'Only a judge may rule upon the permissibility or continuation of any deprivation of liberty. If such a deprivation is not based on a judicial order, a judicial decision shall be obtained without delay'. Article 104 para. 3 of the Basic Law provides that a person who has been provisionally arrested on suspicion

of having committed a criminal offence 'shall be brought before a judge no later than the day following the arrest

In the event that a person is being held arbitrarily in contravention of Article 104 of the Basic Law, anyone can bring about a judicial decision leading to that person's release by applying to the competent Local Court for his/her immediate release. If the person concerned has been detained beyond the time limit permissible under the Basic Law, the court has to order that person's release pursuant to section 128 (2), first sentence, of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Strafprozessordnung, StPO).

Article 17 para. 3

In the case of an involuntary placement of sick persons by a custodian or a person having power of attorney, the information required under letters (a) to (h) is known to the court which authorizes the placement. The court can ascertain the information required under letters (a) to (h) at any time through the custodian or person having power of attorney; the information is then included in the

case-file. This information is also to be regarded as

records within the meaning of article 17 para. 3.

Regarding Article 18

Under German law, all persons with a legitimate interest are entitled to obtain information from the court files. The restrictions provided for in German law for the protection of the interests of the person concerned or for safeguarding the criminal proceedings are permissible pursuant to Article 20 para. 1 of the Convention.

\*Regarding Article 24 para. 4\*

It is clarified that the envisaged provision on

reparation and compensation does not abrogate the principle of state immunity."

### Morocco

Pursuant to 42 (2) of the Convention, the Kingdom of Morocco does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of this article and declares that for any dispute between two or more States to be brought before the International Court of Justice, it is necessary to have, in each case, the agreement of all States parties to the dispute.

#### UKRAINE

"Regarding Articles 13 and 14 of the Convention, Ukraine empowers the Prosecutor General's Office of Ukraine (concerning request during the articles)." Ukraine (concerning request during the pre-trial investigation) and Ministry of Justice of Ukraine (concerning request during the court proceedings or execution of judgments) to consider requests according to Articles 10-14 of Convention".

Regarding Article 42 of the Convention, Ukraine does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of Article 42 concerning additional procedures of settlement of disputes by arbitration or the International Court of Justice."

# VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)

Reservation made upon signature:

The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, in accordance with article 42, paragraph 2, of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, hereby formulates a specific reservation concerning the provisions of paragraph 1 of that article. Therefore, it does not consider itself to be obliged to resort to arbitration as a dispute settlement mechanism, nor does it recognize the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

# Declarations recognizing the competence of the Committee under articles 31 and 32 (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

# **ALBANIA**

In accordance with Article 31 of .....[the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance], the Republic of Albania declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by Albanian State.

In accordance with Article 32 of .....[the International Convention for the Protection of all Persons from Enforced Disappearance], the Republic of Albania declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

### ARGENTINA

In accordance with the provisions of article[s] 31, paragraph 1 ... of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Argentine Republic recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to the jurisdiction of the Argentine Republic claiming to be victims of a violation by the State of any of the provisions of the Convention ...

In accordance with the provisions of ... article[s] 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Argentine Republic recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances ... to receive and consider

communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

#### AUSTRIA

Pursuant to Article 32 of the Convention, the Republic of Austria recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention.

Pursuant to Article 31 of the Convention, the Republic of Austria recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by Austria.

## **BELGIUM**

Article 32:

The Kingdom of Belgium declares, in accordance with article 32 of hte Convention, that it recognizes the competence the Committee Enforced of on Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention.

The Kingdom of Belgium declares that in accordance with article 31 of the convention, [Belgium] recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction

claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by the Kingdom of Belgium.

## **BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA**

"Bosnia and Herzegovina hereby declares, in accordance with article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, adopted in New York, December 20, 2006, that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention.

"Bosnia and Herzegovina hereby declares that in accordance with article 31 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, adopted in New York, December 20, 2006, Bosnia and Herzegovina recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by Bosnia and Herzegovina."

## **CHILE**

The Republic of Chile hereby declares, in accordance with article 31 of this Convention, that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by

this State Party of provisions of this Convention.

The Republic of Chile hereby declares, in accordance with article 32 of this Convention, that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under

this Convention.

# **CZECH REPUBLIC**

... pursuant to Article 31 (1) of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Czech Republic declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by

the Czech Republic of provisions of this Convention.

... pursuant to Article 32 of the of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Czech Republic declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

## **ECUADOR**

In accordance with the provisions of article 31 (1) of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Republic of Ecuador recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of violations of provisions of this Convention by this State Party

In accordance with the provisions of article 32 of the Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Republic of Ecuador recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention.

## FRANCE

... in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 31, [France] recognizes the competence of the Committee on enforced disappearance to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims violation of provisions of this Convention by France.

... in accordance with article 32, [France] recognizes the competence of the Committee on enforced disappearance to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

#### **GERMANY**

In accordance with Article 31 of the International Convention for the Protection of all Persons from Enforced Disappearance (CED) of 20 December 2006, the Federal Republic of Germany declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to the jurisdiction

of the Federal Republic of Germany claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by the Federal Republic of Germany.

In accordance with Article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of all Persons from Enforced Disappearance (CED) of 20 December 2006, the Federal Republic of Germany declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that the Federal Republic of Germany is not fulfilling its obligations under this

Convention.

#### **JAPAN**

"In accordance with Article 32 of the Convention, the Government of Japan declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention.

# LITHUANIA

Article 31

.. in accordance with Article 31 of the Convention, the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania declares that the Republic of Lithuania recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to the jurisdiction of the Republic of Lithuania claiming to be victims of a violation by the Republic of Lithuania of provisions of this Convention."

Article 32

.. in accordance with Article 32 of the Convention, the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania declares that the Republic of Lithuania recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party to this Convention claims that the Republic of Lithuania is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.'

### MALI

The Government of the Republic of Mali declares that recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive communications from individuals or any other State Party in accordance with the provisions of articles 31 and 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons against Enforced Disappearance, adopted on December 20, 2006.

# MONTENEGRO

"In accordance with Article 31 of the International Convention for the Protection al All Persons from

Enforced Disappearance, adopted in New York, December 20, 2006, the Government of Montenegro declares that Montenegro recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by Montenegro of provisions of this

"In accordance with Article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection al All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, adopted in New York, 20 December 2006, the Government of Montenegro declares that Montenegro recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.'

### NETHERLANDS

"In accordance with Article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of all Persons from Kingdom Enforced Disappearance, the Netherlands, for the European part of the Netherlands and the Caribbean part of the Netherlands (the islands of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba), declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

"In accordance with Article 31 of the International Convention for the Protection of all Persons from Kingdom Disappearance, the Netherlands, for the European part of the Netherlands and the Caribbean part of the Netherlands (the islands of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba), declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by the Kingdom of the Netherlands of provisions of this Convention."

# PERU

In accordance with article 31 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearances, the Republic of Peru declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction, claiming to be victims of a violation of the provisions of the Convention by the Republic of Peru.

# **PORTUGAL**

"The Portuguese Republic declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances in accordance and for the purposes of Article 31, paragraph 1 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, adopted in New York, on the Twentieth of December of two thousand and six

"The Portuguese Republic declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearance[s] in accordance and for the purposes of Article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance. adopted in New York, on the Twentieth of December of two thousand and six.

#### SERBIA

"The Republic of Serbia recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by the Republic of

Serbia of provisions of this Convention."
"The Republic of Serbia recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

## SLOVAKIA

"In accordance with Article 32 of the Convention, the Slovak Republic declares that it recognizes the competence ' of the Committee on Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that the Slovak Republic is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

"In accordance with Article 31 of the Convention, the declares that it recognizes the the Committee on Enforced Slovak Republic competence ' of Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to the jurisdiction of the Slovak Republic claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by the Slovak Republic.

# **SPAIN**

Declarations under articles 31

In accordance with article 31 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Kingdom of Spain declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction, claiming to be victims of violations by Spain of provisions of this

Declarations under articles 32

In accordance with article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Kingdom of Spain declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State party claims that another State party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

## SRI LANKA

the Government [of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka wishes to declare as per Article 32 of the Convention that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention".

## **SWITZERLAND**

In accordance with article 31 of the Convention, Switzerland recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation of provisions of this Convention by Switzerland.

In accordance with article 32 of the Convention,

Switzerland recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention.

# UKRAINE

Article 31
"Regarding Article 31 of the Convention, Ukraine recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of violation of provisions of the Convention by Ukraine."

*Article 32* 

"Regarding Article 32 of the Convention, Ukraine recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention."

# **URUGUAY**

In accordance with article 31, paragraph 1, of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Eastern Republic of Uruguay recognizes the competence of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances to receive and consider communications submitted by or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by that State of the provisions of that Convention.

... in accordance with article 32 of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, the Eastern Republic of Uruguay recognizes the competence of the Committee [on Enforced Disappearances] to receive and consider communications in which a State party claims that the Uruguayan State is not fulfilling its obligations under that Convention.

## Notes:

<sup>1</sup> For the European part of the Netherlands and the Caribbean part of the Netherlands (the Islands of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba).

Subsequently, on 21 December 2017, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the Convention will apply to Aruba, with a declaration under articles 31 and 32. (See C.N.783.2017.TREATIES-IV.16 of 21 December 2017.)