Indonesia's Intervention
Working Group On The Implementation Of Op 6 Of The Ga Res. 60/251
Universal Periodic Review

Geneva, 14 February 2007

VI. Follow-up to the review,

Thank you, Mr Facilitator.

We can go along with the three first sub-paragraphs under the elements of convergence as mentioned in your non-paper. We can support the division of labour in the 4th and 5th points, which mentions that the State reviewed should have the main responsibility in implementing and in following-up on the UPR outcomes, and that the international community should discharge its responsibility through capacity-building and technical assistance. In this regard, we wish to suggest that in the 5th paragraph point, the "capacity-building and technical assistance" clause should additionally include, "at the request and consent of the countries concerned".

With regard to the elements requiring further consideration, we mostly have reservations to all points listed except point one with the understanding that the next report shall be presented in the next cycle of the UPR review.

With regard to fifth regarding the measures to be taken in case of non-compliance by a state with the UPR outcome, we would like to reiterate our basic position that no punitive measures shall be taken in any case throughout the UPR process. The emphasis must be taken of ensuring that UPR be aimed at strengthening the state's capacity to comply and fulfil their human rights obligations.

Thank you.