

PAKISTAN

14-02-07

AM

V. OUTCOME OF THE REVIEW

Mr. Fachini

Under the outcome of the review the OIC would ~~be to~~ differ with the three elements requiring further consideration. The OIC believes that the UPR is a new mechanism and needs to be developed in a manner that is able to fulfill its objectives without being overly burdened. UPR should therefore not undertake an assessment of the implementation of treaty bodies and special procedures, recommendations and conclusions. Also being a new, innovative and cooperative mechanism, it should not resort to CHR's tendencies of setting up special procedure mandates, fact finding missions, investigative teams or Commissions of inquiry. It should also not lead to the establishment of OHCHR field officers or other forms of field presence. The focus should be on technical assistance and capacity building on the request of the concerned country and in accordance with its specific requirements.

don't claim
H22

Under elements requiring further consideration, the OIC believes that the decision making for the UPR should be in the form of a Process Verbale containing a summary of proceedings with recommendations to be adopted by consensus. Any decision making on the same pattern as other decisions of the council would lead to a divided, resulting in politicization of the Council. The OIC firmly believes that the UPR must remain a cooperative mechanism.

VI. Follow-up to the Review

~~is needed~~ further clarification in Council
will be given by...

as was the case in the
Human Rights Commission
Human Rights