

**Indonesia's Intervention For The
Working Group On The Implementation Of Op 6 Of The Ga Res. 60/251
Universal Periodic Review**

Geneva, 15 February 2007

AM

UPR MODEL OPTIONS

Thank you, Mr Facilitator.

We would like to join other delegations in thanking you for providing us the 3 models listed in your non-paper. In our view from the very beginning UPR should be a light, inter-governmental and will be use as a tool to identity obstacles and challenges facing by the countries under review in fulfilling their human rights obligations. This process shall be conducted in an open and transparent manner.

In this light, our delegation wishes to state its preference for option I with 5 year periodicity. Indeed, this option in our view is the simplest and most straight-forward by enabling countries to present their case directly in the plenary of HRC, thereby offering best guarantees of a public and hence more open and transparent process.

With regard to independent expert, so far we have not seen any merit of the involvement of independent expert in the UPR since this is a member driven exercise.

Thank you.