

9 August 2006

## **Member State Positions on Security Council Reform**

Although progress on Security Council reform has been slow to date, there is a strong consensus that the status quo is not a viable option in both composition and working methods reform. Controversy and disagreement have developed over the manner of achieving reform. Below is a brief summary of the most popular draft resolutions being considered at the moment:

- **G-4 Proposal** calls for 6 new permanent seats, one for each of the G-4 countries (Brazil, Germany, India, and Japan) as well as two seats for Africa and 4 new non-permanent seats; the question of the extension of veto is deferred to a later date.
- African Union Proposal calls for 6 new permanent seats, 2 for Africa, 2 for Asia, one for Latin America, and one for Western Europe, and 5 new non-permanent seats, including 2 more for Africa; the new permanent members would be given the same privileges as current permanent members including the veto.
- Uniting for Consensus Proposal calls for 10 new non-permanent members chosen on a rotating basis by regional groups, including 3 new seats for Africa, 3 new seats for Asia, 2 new seats for Latin America, and one new seat for Western Europe and Eastern Europe; it also calls for restraint in the use of the veto by the current permanent members.
- S-5 Proposal calls for reform of the working methods of the Security Council, including limiting the use of veto in cases of genocide and serious human rights violations, further consultations between members and non-members, particularly troop-contributing countries, and other reforms to increase transparency and accountability. The S-5 are Costa Rica, Jordan, Liechtenstein, Singapore, and Switzerland.

Below is a chart outlining Member State positions on this contentious issue based on the informal consultations held in the plenary on 20 and 21 July 2006. Statements are accessible by clicking on the name of the Member States for those states that provided them.

Member		Expansi	on	W	orking M	lethod Reform		Veto	)
State		Permanent Seats	Non- Permanent Seats		S-5 Proposal	Other Suggestions	Abolish it	Keep it	Limit its Use
Algeria	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes		reform must be simultaneous with expansion		extend it to new permanent members	
Andorra		does not mention a c composition	hange in	yes	yes				limit its use in cases of genocide and serious human rights violations
Argentina	yes	no	yes, 10 new seats	yes			yes		restraint on use of veto (for now)
Armenia	yes		yes, with 1 new seat for Eastern Europe	yes					
Australia	yes	yes, supports seats for India and Japan	yes, in a limited manner	yes	welcomes it as part of dialogue			no new vetoes	
Belgium	yes	supports a change in does not specify how		yes	welcomes it as part of dialogue				yes, through modalities for its use
Benin	yes	yes, supports new seats for G-4 and Africa	yes	yes		reform should not be hindered by divisions over expansion		veto must be reviewed	
Bhutan	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats	yes				no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
Botswana	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes		increased transparency and consultations with non-members		extend it to new permanent members	

Brazil	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats	yes	considers the note a partial solution	reform must be simultaneous with expansion		no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
Bulgaria	yes		yes, 1 new seat for Eastern Europe	yes		regional groups should have greater say in regional issues			
Chile	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		eventually	no new vetoes	limit its use for issues such as genocide and crimes against humanity
<u>China</u>	yes		yes, increasing the number of developing countries	yes	welcomes it as part of dialogue				
Colombia	yes	no	yes, supports Uniting for Consensus proposal	yes					restraint on use of veto
Costa Rica	yes	supports a change in composition but does not specify how		yes	yes	reform should be addressed separately from expansion	eventually		limit its use in cases of genocide and serious human rights violations
Cuba	yes	yes, 2 new seats for Africa, 2 seats for Asia, and 2 seats for Latin America	yes	yes				extend it to new permanent members	
<u>Cyprus</u>	yes	supports a more equi balance	table regional	yes	supports some elements				
Czech Republic	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, increasing the number of developing countries	yes				no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
Denmark	yes	yes, supports regional balance	yes, supports regional balance	yes		increase transparency, and consultations with non-members			

Ecuador	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal as well as others	yes	yes			no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
Egypt	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes	good first step	reform must be simultaneous with expansion; selection of the Secretary-General should be made more transparent		veto must be limited in cases of genocide and human rights violations, but also in calling for a ceasefire between two belligerents and SG selection process
El Salvador	yes	supports a gradual pr specifying compositi		yes		reform working methods first to facilitate trust		
<u>Ethiopia</u>	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes			extend it to new permanent members	
<u>Fiji</u>	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes	yes			no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
Finland	yes	yes	yes	yes			no new vetoes	
France	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats with better regional balance	yes			no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
<u>Germany</u>	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats with better regional balance	yes	yes, but not sufficient without structural reform		no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	

<u>Ghana</u>	yes	yes, African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes			extend it to new permanent members	
Greece	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats	yes	take note of this proposal		no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
<u>Guatemala</u>	yes	yes	yes	yes	should be explored further			
Honduras		does not mention a c composition	hange in	yes		greater participation of non-member states in SC		
Iceland	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats	yes		increased transparency	no new vetoes (at least until the veto is reviewed)	
India	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats	yes		reform must be simultaneous with expansion		
Indonesia	yes	supports change of c does not specify how		yes		more consultations between members and non-members; better relationship with troop- providers; greater transparency in SG selection		
Iraq	yes	yes	yes	yes		increase outside state involvement; improve sanctions regimes		limit use to issues under chapter 7; should not be used in cases of genocide or serious crimes against humanity

<u>Italy</u>	yes	no	yes, supports Uniting for Consensus proposal	yes		early reform and flexible positions			restraint on the use of veto
Jamaica	yes	yes	yes	yes		greater transparency in SG selection	eventually	no new vetoes	limit use of veto in cases involving civilians and civilian infrastructure
<u>Japan</u>	yes	yes, supports G-4 framework but calls for a new proposal	yes	yes	calls it a good start	reform should not be hindered by divisions over expansion			
Jordan	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	reform should be addressed separately from expansion			limit use of veto in cases of genocide or serious human rights violations
Kazakhstan	yes	yes	yes	yes	deserves further examination				
Laos	yes	yes, supports regional balance and new seat for Africa	yes						
Libya	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes		its responsibility should be shared with the GA		extend veto to new permanent members	
Liechtenstein	yes	does not support any resolutions but would ideas developed	of the current d like to see new	yes	yes, this should be adopted before expansion				
<u>Malaysia</u>	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	reform should be addressed separately from expansion	eventually		veto should be strongly regulated

Maldives	yes	yes, supports G-4	yes, 4 new	yes			no new	
		proposal (flexible)	seats (flexible)				vetoes (at	
							least until	
							veto is	
							reviewed)	
Malta	yes	no	yes, supports	yes	good	reform must be		limit its use
			rotational		contribution	simultaneous with		
			regional seats			expansion		
			(flexible)					
<u>Mauritius</u>	yes	yes, supports	yes, 5 new	yes			extend veto	
		African Union	seats				to new	
		proposal					permanent	
							members	
<u>Mexico</u>	yes	no	yes, any	yes		increase transparency		
			solution			and accountability		
			should be flexible					
Manaalia						mana an mlationship		
<u>Mongolia</u>	yes	yes, supports seats	yes	yes	yes	more open relationship with the GA		
		for India, Japan, and Germany				with the GA		
Morocco		stresses that division		TIOC	good	reform should not be		
MOLOCCO	yes	should not get in the		yes	contribution	hindered by expansion		
		method reform	way of working		contribution	initidered by expansion		
Myanmar	yes	yes, increasing	yes, increasing	yes	good start	increase transparency of		
<u>ivi yummu</u>	yes	representation of	representation	903	good start	the subsidiary bodies		
		developing world	of developing			also		
			world					
Nauru	yes	yes, supports G-4	yes, 4 new	no		not without expansion	no new	
		proposal	seats			first	vetoes	
<u>Nepal</u>	yes	yes, supports seats	yes	yes	supports		 	
		for G-4 and Africa			some			
					elements			
Netherlands	yes	no	yes, any	yes	good		any new	
			solution		contribution		veto should	
			should be		to be		be	
			flexible		included		temporary	
					with		and	
					expansion		reviewed	

New Zealand	yes	yes, must include seat for Japan	yes	yes	yes	more consultations with non-members and monitoring of decisions			
Nicaragua	yes	will support any resolution that comes out with a clear consensus reflecting regional balance		yes					
<u>Nigeria</u>	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes	no		not without expansion first			
<u>Norway</u>	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	increased cooperation between SC and GA		no new veto	restraint on use of veto
Pakistan	yes	no	yes, supports Uniting for Consensus proposal	yes					restraint on the use of veto
Peru	yes	yes, according to the current P-5's wishes	yes	yes				no new vetoes	limit use of veto in cases of genocide or serious human rights violations
Poland	yes	yes, supports the countries who make the most contributions	yes, 1 new seat for Eastern Europe	yes	welcomes proposal as part of dialogue	closer cooperation with GA and regional groups; review situation in 15 years		no new vetoes	resume discussion
Portugal	yes	yes	yes	yes		reform and expansion are part of the same process		extend it to new permanent members	
Qatar	yes	yes, more seats for the developing world	yes, more seats for the developing world	yes			possibly		limit its use on the issue of civilians in Lebanon and the Occupied Territories
Republic of Korea	yes	no	yes, supports Uniting for Consensus proposal	yes	yes				restraint on the use of veto
Romania	yes		yes, 1 new seat for Eastern Europe			reform is not sufficient			

Russian Federation	yes	will consider any proposal adopted by consensus		yes		will consider any proposal adopted by consensus		no new vetoes	
San Marino	yes	supports change of the composition, but does not specify how		yes	good step, but not enough				
Saudi Arabia	yes	yes	yes	yes					
<u>Senegal</u>	yes	yes, African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes				extend it to new permanent members	
Singapore	yes	yes, supports G-4 proposal	yes, 4 new seats	yes	welcomes it as part of dialogue		gradually	no new vetoes (at least until veto is reviewed)	
<u>Slovakia</u>	yes	yes, supports seats for Japan and Germany	yes	yes					
<u>Slovenia</u>	yes	yes	yes, 1 new seat for Eastern Europe	yes	yes				
Solomon Islands	yes	yes, supports elements of the G-4 proposal	yes	yes	supports some elements				
South Africa	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes	no	reform should occur simultaneously with expansion			
<u>Spain</u>	yes	no	yes, 10 new seats	yes	yes				
<u>Sri Lanka</u>	yes	yes, supports seats for G-4 and Africa	yes	yes	good step				
<u>Sudan</u>	yes	supports a change in composition but does not specify how		yes		SC must not infringe on GA's responsibilities	yes		
<u>Sweden</u>	yes	no	yes, subject to review	yes		increased transparency and accountability	eventually	no new vetoes	

Switzerland	yes	supports a change in composition but does not specify how		yes	yes	reform should not be hindered by divisions over expansion		no new vetoes	limit its use in cases of genocide or serious human rights violations
<u>Uganda</u>	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes	yes		eventually	extend it to new permanent members until it is abolished	
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	yes	yes, supports G-4 and 1 permanent seat for Africa	yes, 4 new seats	yes	welcomes the proposal as part of dialogue				
<u>United Republic</u> of Tanzania	yes	yes, supports African Union proposal	yes, 5 new seats	yes	yes			extend it to new permanent members	
United States of America	yes	yes, supports seat for Japan	yes	yes	no	Security Council should reform its own working methods			
<u>Uruguay</u>	yes	yes, would be willing to support G-4 proposal (flexible)	yes	yes	yes			no new vetoes	limit its use in cases of genocide and serious human rights violations
<u>Venezuela</u>	yes	yes, more seats for the developing world	yes, more seats for the developing world	yes		Security Council must limit its action	yes		limit its use
<u>Viet Nam</u>	yes	yes, more seats for the developing world	yes, more seats for the developing world	yes	good basis for reform	increased transparency and accountability	eventually		limit its use to Chapter 7 issues